



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/309,412	05/10/1999	KAZUHIRO HARA	450100-4879	7480
20999 7	7590 03/08/2004		EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			JACKSON, JENISE E	
745 FIFTH AV NEW YORK,	/ENUE- 10TH FL. NY 10151		ART UNIT PAPER NUM	PAPER NUMBER
,			2131	10
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

In

	Application No.	pplicant(s)	7			
•	09/309,412	HARA, KAZUHIRO	HARA, KAZUHIRO			
' Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Jenise E Jackson	2131				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover s	sheet with the correspondence addre	?SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howevery within the statutory minimulation will expire Size, cause the application to be	er, may a reply be timely filed furnished (30) days will be considered timely.  X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
•	action is non-final	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from considerat					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)  obje	cted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received ts have been received ts have been received the transfer of the transfer	ved.  ved in Application No  ve been received in this National St	age			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	)	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) LJ C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/309,412

Art Unit: 2131

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 8-14, 17-19, remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seth-Smith et al.
- 3. As per claim 1, 11, a data transmission controlling method for controlling transmission of data from data transmitting means to data receiving means over communication channels(col. 3, lines 14-18, fig. 1, sheet 1), said data transmission controlling method includes, transmitting data encrypted by said data transmitting means to said data receiving means over a first communication channel provided for data transmission from said data transmitting means to said data receiving means(see col. 3, lines 14-22, fig. 1, sheet 1); and transmitting to said data receiving means restrictive data transmission control information for causing the encrypted data to be received solely by specific data receiving means(see col. 6, lines 30-49) at least over a second communication channel which, having a smaller capacity of data transmission that said first communication channel, is also used for data transmission from said data receiving means to said data transmitting means(see col. 6, lines 49-57, fig. 1, sheet 1). The Examiner asserts that Seth-Smith inherently discloses the second communication channel has a smaller capacity, because Seth-Smith discloses one channel can be a landline.

Application/Control Number: 09/309,412

Art Unit: 2131

- 2. As per claim 2, wherein said second communication channel is a communication channel permitting bi-directional communication between said data transmitting means and said data receiving means, is inherent in Seth-Smith, because Seth-Smith discloses that the user can communicate with the broadcaster(see col. 6, lines 49-67).
- 3. As per claims 3, 12, wherein said data transmitting means performs data encryption using an encryption key and wherein said encrypted data from said data transmitting means are decrypted by said data receiving means utilizing a decryption key identical to said encryption key used in the data encryption(see col. 3, lines 23-27, col. 20, lines 22-34). The Examiner asserts that the keys must be identical in order to decrypt information, that insures that the proper individual receives information, this is disclosed in Seth-Smith et al.
- 4. As per claims 4, 13, Seth-Smith et al. discloses wherein said encryption key and said decryption key are session keys(i.e. service key) for encrypting and decrypting information and data(see col. 3, lines 14-22, col. 10, lines 38-42, col. 22, lines 9-36, 57-60).
- 5. As per claims 5, 14, Seth-Smith discloses wherein said session keys(i.e. service keys) are updated at predetermined intervals(see col. 11, lines 66-67, col. 12, lines 1-8, col. 19, lines 33-37).
- 6. As per claims 8, 17, Seth-Smith discloses said first communication channel is a satellite link permitting unidirectional communication from said data transmitting means to said data receiving means; and wherein said second communication channel is a communication channel permitting bi-directional communication between said data transmitting means and said data receiving means(see col. 6, lines 49-55).

Art Unit: 2131

7. As per claims 9-10, 18-19, Seth-Smith inherently discloses wherein said data receiving means is constituted as an IP router, and bridge, because Seth-Smith discloses a subscription television system that uses a satellite to transmit data(see abstract).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 6-7, 15-16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seth-Smith et al. in view of Mueller.
- 10. Claims 6-7, 15-16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seth-Smith et al. in view of Mueller.
- 11. As per claims 6, and 15, Seth-Smith discloses data transmitting means and said data receiving means, and discloses session keys(see above already addressed as per claim 1 and 4).
- 12. As per claims 6 and 15, Seth-Smith et al. is silent on a master key that encrypts and decrypts session keys.
- 13. However, Mueller discloses a master key that encrypts and decrypts session keys(see col. 1, lines 46-61).
- 14. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Mueller within the system of Seth-Smith, because secure session key generation methods, such as Mueller offer distinct advantage that the intercepted, encrypted messages based on the session

Application/Control Number: 09/309,412

Art Unit: 2131

key cannot be decrypted at a later time even if access to the actual encryption system is gained(see col. 2, lines 1-7 of Mueller).

15. As per claims 7, 16, Seth-Smith discloses said data transmitting means possesses said session keys corresponding to all data receiving means authorized to receive specific information and data; and wherein said data transmitting means transmits in advance said session keys to said data receiving means authorized to receive specific information and data(see col. 21, lines 49-65, col. 22, lines 9-34).

#### Response To Amendment

- 16. As per Applicant's amendment paper number 9, dated 1/12/04, the Applicant has responded to Office Action dated 9/12/03, paper number 8.
- 17. The Applicant that Seth-Smith does not teach the transmission of the data over and first communication channel and restrictive data transmission control information over a second communication channel. The Examiner disagrees with the Applicant. The Applicant is urged to look further down column six, that states the assembled information is encrypted(see col. 6, lines 30-31). This information is transmitted over a satellite, by a landline or both(see col. 6, lines 51-63). Seth-Smith also discloses the encrypted information includes restricted access of individuals to the video signal(see col. 6, lines 57-65). Thus, the Applicant's point is moot.

#### **FINAL ACTION**

18. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/309,412

Art Unit: 2131

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenise E Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 306-0426. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00 a.m. - 3:30 p.m.) alternate Friday's.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (703) 305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

\*\*\*

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100